RUNES AROUND THE NORTH SEA AND ON THE CONTINENT AD 150-700; TEXTS & CONTEXTS

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van het doctoraat in de Letteren, aan de Rijksuniversiteit van Groningen op gezag van de Rector Magnificus, dr. F. van der Woude, in het openbaar te verdedigen op donderdag 27 november 1997 des namiddags om 1.15 uur

door

Jantina Helena Looijenga

Geboren op 12 juni 1948 te Groningen 13. <u>Westerenden B</u> (Groningen), small yew-wooden stick, found in 1917. Seen at the GM, Groningen. No date. The stick has three prepared sides, two of them covered with runes. Some runes exhibit a unique form. They appear to represent mirror-runes. Other runes belong to the younger Scandinavian *fupark*. Furtheron there are Anglo-Frisian runes and runes from the common older *fupark*. The h is double-barred. The s is represented by the book-hand s \(\frac{1}{2} \). The p has a somewhat unfinished form. It appears once in a single form and once in a mirrored form: \(\frac{1}{2} \).

Three separate parts can be distinguished in the runic legend. The inscription starts with oph?muji?adaamlup:, ending in a word-division sign. When the stick is turned 180°, reading can be proceeded on the same side, starting from the division mark: wimæ?ahpu??. On a second prepared side can be read iwio?u?du?ale.

FUHLMUHIQHMELMIND:

Seebold (1990) reads: ophæmu givëda æmluþ:iwi ok upduna (a)le wimôv æh þusë.

The form k occurs thrice in the inscription. From the context it must represent a vowel; probably a, which could have been rendered by the Anglo-Frisian a, but for some reason this rune does not occur in this inscription. I suppose k might be a younger fubark variety. It is transliterated a in a

> OFris $\bar{a} > \bar{e} > \bar{e}$, in which case we would have another attestation of monophthongization of Gmc *ai > OFris \bar{a} . The same rune also occurs in eh and in emlub.

The a in upduna (a)le is the Anglo-Frisian $\bar{a}c$, written once but meant to be read twice in upduna (a)le. (This occurs more often; in <u>Fallward</u> skamella (a)lguskaþi).

As has been said above, the inscription contains some mirrored runes, such as $\mathbf{p} \square$ in \mathbf{upduna} based on the form of single \mathbf{p} in $\mathbf{ophæmu}$.



Yew-wooden stick of Westeremden.



The rune $\[\]$ might be taken as a mirror-rune b in jibada (instead of Seebold's givëda; the Sternrune $\[\]$ should be transliterated j, see above, nr. 12). The middle rune $\[\]$ I transliterate a, rendered in a rune form known from the younger Danish futhark. Also in busa it is transliterated a, although the sidetwig slants to the right, whereas it slants to the left in jibada. It might seem strange that we would have two different runeforms both transliterated a in one

word, **jibada**, but I suggest the runecarver wanted to differ between two a-like sounds. The \uparrow $\bar{a}c$ appears to represent a palatal a, whereas the \uparrow denotes a velar a. There is no opposition stressed - unstressed, or long - short.

jibada = gibada 'fate, luck', recorded twice in the OS Heliand: 3161 and 5828, meaning 'comfort, reassurance' or even 'new life *in Christo*' (Opitz 1978:21), cf. <u>Bad Ems</u> (Continental Corpus). The mirror-rune

, here transliterated b, occurs once again in the inscription; from its form it can both represent b or d; it represents d in wimœd.

My transliteration runs thus:

op hæmu jibada æmluþ : iwi ok up duna (a)le wimæd æh þusa.

æmluþ has been explained by Seebold (1990:421) as 3 sg. pres. ind. 'stays, remains', analogous to ON amla 'to strain oneself'.

iwi appears to mean 'yew', cf. Gmc *#hwaz, *#nwaz, m., although it is difficult to explain its form; it might be a locative or instrumental, according to Seebold (1990:415).

 $ok = \bar{a}k$ 'also'; up = op 'upon', duna asf. *n*-stem 'dune, hill, *terp*'.

(a)le is an optative to Gmc *ala- 'to grow' (Seebold 1990:415).

wimæd is probably a masculine PN, nsm. a-stem. The α is the product of i-mutation of o/\bar{o} , represented by \hat{X} .

æh 3 sg. pres. ind. 'to have', cf. OFris āch (Markey 1981:157).

busa may be compared to the dem. pron. masc. acc. bisse 'this one' (Markey 1981:136).

The interpretation of the text is nearly the same as the one proposed by Seebold: 'at the homestead stays good fortune; may it also grow near the yew on the terp; Wimæd owns this'. The stick can be taken as a building offer.

Since the inscription exhibits *i*-mutation, bookhand s and runes from the younger *fupark*, the date must be later than, say, 750 AD.